

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

**Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)
to
Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet
Committee
on
2nd November 2017**

Report prepared by: Peter Geraghty,
Director for Planning and Transport

**Agenda
Item No.**

Requests for Waiting Restrictions

**Executive Councillor: Councillor Cox
Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions/traffic Regulation Orders in accordance with the statutory processes.

2. Recommendation

2.1. That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-

- a) Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;**
- b) If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the proposal will be added to the existing work programme and the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;**
- c) Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic and Parking Working Party for consideration.**

3. Background

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the policy criterion agreed criteria by the Cabinet Committee in January 2016.

4. Other Options

- 4.1 Each request needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

- 5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

- 6.1.1 Ensure the highway network is effectively managed contributing to a Safe and Prosperous Southend.

6.2 Financial Implications

- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding will be from allocated budgets, where funding is provided from alternative budgets, this is highlighted as appropriate.

6.3 Legal Implications

- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

6.4 People Implications

- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

6.5 Property Implications

- 6.5.1 None

6.6 Consultation

- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate.

6.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

- 6.7.1 The objectives of improving safety takes account of all users of the public highway including those with disabilities.

6.8 Risk Assessment

- 6.8.1 Neutral.

6.9 Value for Money

- 6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

6.10 *Community Safety Implications*

6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

6.11 *Environmental Impact*

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

7. Background papers

None

8. Appendices

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

APPENDIX 1 – TRO CHANGES/WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

| Location | Request Details | Requested By | Relevant Criteria Points | Officer comments |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Ambleside Drive | Amend existing restrictions | Officers | NA | <p>As part of works to review current junction protection and propose restrictions at currently unprotected junctions, officers have identified a number of areas where parking capacity can be increased.</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals.</p> |
| Delaware Road | Amend existing restrictions | Officers | NA | <p>A development requires a Temporary Traffic Regulation Order to maintain access for larger vehicles and it is suggested this opportunity be taken to revise the existing parking arrangements. Staggering the parking along the road, as opposed to the existing arrangements with parking prohibited on one side, while maintaining access for buses is likely to reduce current speeds on this long straight road and is an ideal location to assess the impact that the use of parked vehicles has on travelled speeds.</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals.</p> |
| Southchurch Boulevard Futures College | Provide School Keep Clear Marking | College | NA | <p>Markings to maintain a vehicle free area at peak school hours are provided at schools for safety reasons.</p> <p>Recommend to advertise proposals.</p> |
| Pleasant Road, Hartington Road, Hartington Place, Seaway | Request for resident parking controls | Residents and Members | 40% response, 70% support met. | <p>The area is directly adjacent to the seafront and non-resident parking is an issue year round with either visitors or local workers using the limited parking spaces available. The ward Councillor has undertaken the required surveys and demonstrated the level of support meets the agreed criteria.</p> <p>Recommend officers undertake the works necessary in order to propose a Permit Parking Area and that resulting proposals be advertised.</p> |